California Integrated Waste Management Board

Board Meeting

July 29, 1998

AGENDA ITEM 12

ITEM:

CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF FINANCIAL ASSURANCE REGULATIONS FOR SOLID WASTE LANDFILLS: LOCAL GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL TEST AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT GUARANTEE

I. SUMMARY

The purpose of this item is to bring forward for consideration of adoption by the Board of the attached new financial assurance regulations, the Local Government Financial Test (LGFT) and Local Government Guarantee, LGG (Attachment #1).

Staff is proposing these regulations to add to current State financial assurance regulations two new financial assurance mechanisms developed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for local government owners/operators of municipal solid waste landfills. The LGFT allows local governments to meet financial assurance obligations for postclosure maintenance and corrective action costs by demonstrating their financial strength. A local government interested in providing a LGG for a landfill operated by another public agency or a private firm must also pass the LGFT.

Staff determined the LGFT and LGG regulations have no environmental impact. A Notice of Exemption from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) was filed, and the public review period ended on June 1, 1998.

The regulations were distributed to interested parties on November 21, 1997 and published in the California Regulatory Notice Register. The 45-day comment period was from November 21, 1997 to January 5, 1998. The scope of this regulatory package is limited to the new financial assurance regulations, the LGFT and LGG.

The regulations were noticed for an additional 15-day comment period to make public minor revisions to the proposed regulations. The 15-day comment period was from June 22, 1998 through July 7, 1998.

II. PREVIOUS BOARD AND COMMITTEE ACTION

On June 17, 1997, the Permitting and Enforcement Committee approved development of the proposed regulations and the rulemaking including the formal 45-day public notice.

On January 28, 1998, the Board adopted the LGFT and LGG regulations as recommended by staff.

On July 16, 1998, the Permitting and Enforcement Committee considered minor revisions to the regulations following a 15-day comment period that ended on July 7, 1998. As of the date this item was prepared, the Permitting and Enforcement Committee had not made a recommendation or decision on this item.

III. OPTIONS FOR THE BOARD

Board members may decide to:

- 1. Adopt the LGFT and LGG regulations as recommended by staff and forward to OAL.
- 2. Direct staff to revise the regulations and provide an additional public comment period, if necessary.

IV. STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends the Board adopt the LGFT and LGG regulations as presented in this agenda item.

V. ANALYSIS

During the 45-day comment period, staff received comments from 2 interested parties. Attachment #2 provides the commentors, comments, and staff response.

The proposed regulations with minor changes were re-noticed for a 15-day period ending July 7, 1998, and sent to the commentors listed on Attachment #2. No comments were received.

Background:

This rulemaking is based on new federal regulations issued in 1996 (40 CFR Part 258.74). Public Resources Code (PRC), section 43601 specifies that operators of solid waste landfills are required to use financial assurance mechanisms in federal or state regulations, and also allows the CIWMB to condition the use of a mechanism in federal law. Addition of the LGFT and LGG to current state financial assurance regulations assures operators that all allowable mechanisms can be found in one place, and that the financial assurance regulations are complete.

The proposed regulations provide public operators with financial assurance alternatives for postclosure maintenance and corrective action costs. The proposed regulations do not allow the use of the new mechanisms to assure closure costs.

The LGFT regulation defines an operator's eligibility to use the LGFT. In order to pass either Alternative 1 or Alternative 2, the test must be based on financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) for governments and audited by an independent certified public accountant. Alternative 1 requires a local government to pass a liquidity ratio and debt service ratio. Alternative 2 requires a local government with

outstanding rated general obligation bonds to document a current investment grade bond rating by Standard and Poor's or Moody's.

The LGG regulation defines a local government's eligibility to use the LGG to promise to pay specified debts or perform specified obligations for another public or private landfill. To use the LGG a guarantor must satisfy the requirements of the LGFT based on the guarantor's financial statements prepared in conformity with GAAP for governments and audited by an independent certified public accountant.

Rulemaking Process:

There were 2 commentors during the 45-day comment period. One comment was outside the scope of this regulations package. The other comment was noted, but no modification was made to the regulations.

The 15-day notice was needed, because section 22249(j)(4) was changed from the proposed regulations published with the original 45-day notice. The 15 day notice ended July 7, 1998, and no comments were received.

Key Issues:

The minor change to section 22249(j)(4) is necessary to simply bring the requirements for the special certified public accountant (CPA) letter up-to-date. Board staff were informed that EPA determined the former CPA report requirements have become inconsistent with current professional auditing standards. The change provides consistency with EPA guidance and specifies the new CPA report requirements. Additional non-substantive clarifying changes are included below:

- Section 22249(j)(4) was modified as follows:
- (4) A letter from an independent certified public accountant that performed the audit stating that:
- (4)(A) Stating that he or she has reviewed the letter required by section (j)(1) from the chief financial officer including data derived from the financial statements for the latest completed fiscal year of the operator or the guarantor, and compared the data with the amounts in the financial statements; and
- (4)(B) Based on the comparison, no matters came to his or her attention that caused him or her to believe that the specified data should be adjusted Describing the procedures performed and related findings, including whether or not there were discrepancies found in the comparison, based on an agreed-upon procedures engagement performed in accordance with American Institute of Certified Public accountants, Inc.'s (AICPA'S) Statement on Auditing Standards No. 75, Engagements to Apply Agreed-Upon Procedures to Specified Elements, Accounts or Items of a Financial Statement.
- Section 22249(j)(1) was modified as follows:
- (1) A letter on the local government's letterhead stationary that is worded and completed as specified in Form CIWMB 112 (6/97)(7/98), which is incorporated by reference, which contains an original signature of the local government's chief financial officer. An operator or guarantor

shall use Form CIWMB 112 (6/97)(7/98) to demonstrate or guarantee financial responsibility for postclosure maintenance costs and/or corrective action costs.

- Section 22249.5(b) was modified as follows:
- (b) The guarantee shall be worded and completed as specified by form CIWMB 113(6/97)(7/98), which is incorporated by reference.

There has been no change to the effect of the proposed regulations from that stated in the original Notice of Proposed Regulatory Action.

Fiscal Impacts:

No overall significant fiscal effect, since the new financial assurance mechanisms will be substituted for existing mechanisms for local governments.

VI. ATTACHMENTS

- 1. Local Government Financial Test and Local Government Guarantee Regulations
- 2. Comment and Response from 45-day Comment Period
- 3. Resolution #98-253

VII. APPROVALS

Phone: x 4009 Prepared By: Nancy Jest beby Reviewed By: Richard Castle for Garth Adams Phone: x 4173 Reviewed By: Bernie Vlach Phone: x2331 x 2431Reviewed By: Dorothy Rice Phone: Date/Time: